

Correctness through proof

Foundational Proof Certificates

Rob Blanco, Dale Miller et al.
Inria and LIX/École polytechnique

DSSS17 student talk
20 July 2017

Two questions

How to **trust** proofs [*sic?*]

How to **communicate** proofs

One answer

Universal proof system and trusted **proof checkers**

Flexible, independent definition of **proof certificates**

General enough for most paradigms you can think of (Coq?)

- ▶ Next: collaborative formats for **theorem prover evidence**

A taste of programmable sequent calculus

Definition side:

$$\frac{\frac{(\text{dlist } [i, j]) \vdash \Gamma \uparrow C_k}{(\text{start } (n + 1) \text{ (resol } i \ j \ k :: R) \vdash \Gamma \uparrow)} \quad \frac{(\text{rlist } R) \vdash \Gamma, (\text{idx } k) : \neg C_k \uparrow}{(\text{rlisti } R \ k) \vdash \Gamma \uparrow \neg C_k} \textit{store}}{\textit{cut}}$$

```
cut_ke (start C Resol) C1 C2 Cut :- cut_ke (rlist Resol) C1 C2 Cut.  
cut_ke (rlist (resol I J K :: Rs)) (dlist [I, J]) (rlisti K Rs) Cut :-  
  lemma K Cut.
```

Certificate side: [resol 2 2 4; resol 4 4 5; resol 1 5 6; resol 6 3 7]

To know more

<https://team.inria.fr/parsifal/proofcert/>

roberto.blanco@inria.fr

Let's talk :-)